

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/831,496	09/831,496 05		Kaoru Murata	0425-0837P	5554
2292	7590	07/14/2003			CB
BIRCH ST	EWART	KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 74 FALLS CH	-	A 22040-0747	THERKORN, ERNEST G		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1723	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Summary Application Application Application Application And Unit THE THE And Unit THE THE	.	A					
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	Office Action Summary	09/83/, 496 MURATA Examiner Art Unit					
A SHORTEND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of time new the worldbe under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In an event, however, may a right be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If the period for may appelled above is best then thirty (30) days, a reply within the staticatory infinitum of thirty (30) days will be consistent direly. If NO period for may is appelled above, the measum stationary period vill early and was pairs 316. (MONTHS for one heralized state of the communication.) - Tables to nelly within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application is become ABMOUNTED (130 U.S.C. \$133). - Tables to nelly within the set or extended period for reply will. By statute, cause the application is become ABMOUNTED (130 U.S.C. \$133). - Tables to nelly within the set or extended period for reply will. By statute the statute of the main and application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2 8 - 13 a.n. 15 - 18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) 5 is/are allowed. (a) Claim(s) 2 8 - 10 is/are allowed. (b) Claim(s) 3 8 - 10 is/are allowed. (c) Claim(s) 3 8 - 10 is/are allowed. (a) Claim(s) 3 8 - 10 is/are allowed. (b) Claim(s) 3 8 - 10 is/are allowed. (c) Claim(s) 3 8 - 10 is/are allowed. (c) Claim(s) 3 8 - 10 is/are allowed. (d) Claim(s) 3 8 - 10 is/are allowed. (e) All Disposition is objected to by the Examiner. Application Pepars 9	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4] Claim(s) 2 0 8 13 0 0 15 18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) 5 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) 5 is/are allowed. 6b) Claim(s) 3 8 10 16 18 is/are rejected. 77 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8b] Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9] The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 100 The drawing(s) filed on is/are allowed by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119 and 120 3] All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Some of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. \$\$ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status	26 2003					
3] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2 0 8 13 0 15 18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) 5 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are evithdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are ellowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8] Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of Informal Petent Application (PTO-152)	2a) ∠ This action is FINAL . 2b) ∠ This ac	ction is non-final.					
All Claim(s) 2							
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		A . = . 0					
Same	4) X Claim(s) 2 and 8 - 13 an	is/are pending in the application.					
claim(s) 2 8 - 2 2 6 - 8	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Claim(s)	5) ☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
Claim(s)	6) X Claim(s) 2, 8-4 and 16-18	is/are rejected.					
Application Papers 9	7)	is/are objected to.					
Application Papers 9							
The drawing(s) filed on							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	· _						
The proposed drawing correction filed on							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 41 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 52 Notice of Dreftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Note). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Dreftsperson's Petent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Petent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Petent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Petent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Petent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		A) Theories Suprement (PTO 412) Serve Ne/-)					
<u> </u>		<u></u>					

.€

Claims 2, 8-13, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are directed to an improper Markush group. The species do not "(1) share a common utility, and (2) share a substantial structural feature disclosed to be essential to that utility."

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. "low flow velocity gradient high performance liquid chromatography apparatus" lacks antecedent basis.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 8, 16/8, 17/16/8, and 18/8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821).

Application/Control Number: 09/831,496

•

Art Unit: 1723

The claims are considered to read on Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821). However, if a difference exists between the claims and Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821), it would reside in optimizing the steps and elements of Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821). It would have been obvious to optimize the steps and elements of Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821) to enhance separation.

Page 3

Claims 2, 8-13, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asakawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,117,109) in view of Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821). At best, the claims differ from Asakawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,117,109) in reciting a flow velocity gradient of 250 microliters per minute or less. Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821) (column 1, lines 11-30) discloses that a flow rate of 100 microliters per minute are frequently used in chromatography. It would have been obvious to use a flow of 100 microliters per minute in Asakawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,117,109) because Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821) (column 1, lines 11-30) discloses that a flow rate of 100 microliters per minute are frequently used in chromatography.

Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asakawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,117,109) in view of Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821) as applied to claims 2, 8-13, and 16-18 above, and further in view of Snyder, Introduction to Modern Liquid Chromatography, 1979, pages 560-561. At best, the claims differ from Asakawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,117,109) in view of Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821) in reciting analyzing a trace amount of a component. Snyder, Introduction to Modern Liquid Chromatography, 1979, pages 560-561 discloses that liquid chromatography is a powerful and widely used technique for analyzing trace components. It would have been obvious to analyze trace component in Asakawa (U.S. Patent

Application/Control Number: 09/831,496 Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

No. 5,117,109) in view of Koch (U.S. Patent No. 4,475,821) because Snyder, Introduction to

Modern Liquid Chromatography, 1979, pages 560-561 discloses that liquid chromatography is a

powerful and widely used technique for analyzing trace components.

Claim 15 is withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected species.

The remarks urge that the election of species is improper because all the species have

previously been examined. However, the claims were previously written so that all five species

did not have to be examined. There was no claim of the scope of claim 15 and applicant has not

conceded that the species are art recognized equivalents. The examiner merely keep the species

together until a became a serious burden to examine them individually. As such, the election of

species has been reconsidered, deemed proper, and made final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at

telephone number (703) 308-0362.

Ernest G. Therkorn Primary Examiner

Chret 6 the how

Art Unit 1723

EGT/12 July 10, 2003